

## **BIAS IN AI ALGORITHMS: ENSURING FAIRNESS IN PHYSICAL EDUCATIONAL TOOLS**

**MR.PRABHJOT SINGH**

Assistant Professor, Department of Physical Education, Sant Baba Bhag Singh University  
Jalandhar

### **Abstract**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming the landscape of education, including physical education (PE), by providing advanced tools for personalized learning, performance tracking, and injury prevention. However, as AI systems become more prevalent in PE settings, concerns about algorithmic bias and fairness have emerged. This chapter explores the nature of AI bias, its implications for fairness in physical education tools, and strategies to mitigate these biases. It delves into how biases can be embedded in datasets, algorithms, and deployment practices, especially when addressing diverse student populations with varying physical abilities, genders, ethnic backgrounds, and socio-economic contexts. The chapter concludes with ethical and practical recommendations to ensure equitable access and outcomes through responsible AI design in PE.

**Keywords:** AI Bias, Fairness in AI, Physical Education, Algorithmic Discrimination, Bias Mitigation.

### **1. Introduction**

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is reshaping educational systems globally, with applications extending into physical education through smart wearables, fitness tracking applications, performance assessment platforms, and rehabilitation tools (Baker et al., 2021). These technologies promise greater personalization, efficiency, and motivation in physical education settings. However, their integration raises critical questions about bias and fairness. When AI tools are developed using non-representative data or reflect developers' unconscious biases, they risk reinforcing social inequalities rather than eliminating them.

The concept of bias in AI is not merely technical; it is deeply social and ethical. Bias in algorithms can emerge from multiple sources—data collection, model design, or even user interaction—and may disproportionately affect marginalized groups. For instance, if an AI-based fitness assessment tool is trained mostly on data from able-bodied male athletes, it may unfairly rate or provide feedback to individuals outside that demographic, such as students with disabilities or from different ethnic backgrounds.

This chapter critically examines how bias infiltrates AI algorithms used in physical education tools and outlines frameworks for ensuring fairness and inclusivity in their design and implementation. It aligns with growing literature on AI ethics in education (Whittlestone et al., 2019; Eubanks, 2018), while focusing specifically on the unique needs and contexts of physical education.

### **2. Understanding Bias in AI**

#### **2.1 Defining Bias in AI**

Bias in AI refers to systematic and unfair discrimination against certain individuals or groups in the outputs or decisions made by AI systems. These biases can be either **explicit** (intentional) or **implicit** (unintentional), and can arise at various stages of AI development (Barocas, Hardt, & Narayanan, 2019). In educational contexts, such biases may manifest in assessment accuracy, feedback quality, or access to learning resources.

## 2.2 Sources of AI Bias

Bias in AI systems typically originates from:

- **Data Bias:** When the training data is unrepresentative or skewed towards certain populations.
- **Algorithmic Bias:** When the logic or structure of the algorithm reinforces specific patterns that disadvantage certain users.
- **Deployment Bias:** When an AI system interacts with its environment in a way that creates or amplifies inequalities.

For instance, if a motion analysis tool used in PE classes is trained on data from elite athletes, it may fail to accurately interpret the movements of beginners, children, or individuals with physical disabilities.

## 2.3 Types of Bias Relevant to PE

- **Demographic Bias:** Gender, ethnicity, and socio-economic background influencing outcomes.
- **Sensorial/Physical Bias:** Tools may be calibrated for specific body types or physical norms.
- **Cultural Bias:** Misinterpretation or underrepresentation of culturally diverse physical activities.

# 3. AI in Physical Education: Applications and Opportunities

## 3.1 Current AI-Based Tools in PE

AI has been adopted in PE through several technological innovations, including:

- **Wearables and Fitness Trackers:** Devices like Fitbit or Garmin that monitor heart rate, steps, and calories.
- **AI-Powered Coaching Apps:** Tools like Freeletics and SmartCoach that offer personalized training regimens.
- **Motion Capture and Performance Analysis:** Systems that use computer vision to assess physical movements.

- **Rehabilitation and Assistive Technologies:** AI in physiotherapy for personalized recovery plans.

### 3.2 Benefits of AI in PE

- **Personalized Learning:** AI tailors exercises and programs to individual needs.
- **Objective Assessment:** Provides data-driven performance evaluations.
- **Injury Prevention:** Predictive analysis helps prevent overuse or improper technique.
- **Increased Engagement:** Gamified AI tools motivate students through real-time feedback.

## 4. Case Studies: Identifying Bias in Physical Education Tools

### 4.1 Case Study 1: Fitness Trackers and Gender Discrepancies

A study revealed that popular fitness trackers underestimated calorie burn in women due to training on male-dominant data sets (Shcherbina et al., 2017). Such disparities can lead to demotivation or improper feedback for female students.

### 4.2 Case Study 2: Motion Analysis Tools and Body Diversity

Computer vision tools often struggle to analyze non-normative body shapes or people with limited mobility. In one school study, students with disabilities received less accurate feedback from a motion analysis tool designed for able-bodied athletes (Kim et al., 2020).

### 4.3 Case Study 3: Cultural Bias in AI Exercise Recommendations

An AI fitness application provided workouts based solely on Western sports practices, overlooking region-specific exercises like kabaddi or martial arts. This marginalized students from culturally diverse backgrounds who engage in non-Western physical practices.

## 5. Ensuring Fairness in AI-Based PE Tools

### 5.1 Inclusive Data Collection

Developers must use diverse, representative datasets covering various body types, age groups, genders, ethnicities, and physical abilities. This helps ensure the AI tool is adaptable across different user profiles.

### 5.2 Algorithmic Transparency and Explainability

Providing users with explanations of how AI systems make decisions increases trust and allows for scrutiny. For instance, educators should be able to understand why a student received a certain performance score.

### 5.3 Participatory Design

Involving educators, students, physical trainers, and ethicists in the design process ensures that the tools are more aligned with real-world educational contexts and user needs.

## 5.4 Regular Auditing and Bias Testing

Routine testing of AI tools for biased outcomes—especially across demographic variables—helps identify and correct hidden unfairness.

## 5.5 Regulatory and Ethical Frameworks

Governments and educational bodies must establish regulations and ethical guidelines that enforce fairness and inclusivity in AI development. This includes consent policies, data privacy laws, and fairness standards.

## 6. Ethical Implications

### 6.1 Accountability

Who is responsible when an AI system creates issues in educational institutions? To ensure accountability, it's essential for developers, educators, and schools to clearly define their roles and responsibilities.

### 6.2 Privacy Concerns

PE tools often collect sensitive biometric data. It's essential to uphold strict privacy standards, particularly when used in school environments with minors.

### 6.3 Autonomy and Human Oversight

AI should assist—not replace—human judgment. Educators should retain authority over assessments and feedback, using AI as a supplementary tool.

## 7. Recommendations for Stakeholders

### 7.1 For Developers

- Execute fairness-aware machine learning procedures.
- Design interfaces for all users, including those with disabilities.
- Create feedback mechanisms for user-reported bias.

### 7.2 For Educators

- Choose AI tools vetted for inclusivity.
- Stay informed about AI limitations and risks.
- Advocate for student equity and involvement in tool selection.

### 7.3 For Policymakers

- Mandate bias audits in AI educational products.
- Fund inclusive AI research in PE.
- Encourage public-private partnerships for ethical innovation.

## 8. Conclusion

AI tools in physical education offer unprecedented opportunities to personalize learning and enhance performance. However, they too chance sustaining predispositions in case not created and executed mindfully. By understanding the sources and consequences of bias in AI systems, stakeholders can take proactive steps to ensure fairness and equity. The integration of ethical frameworks, inclusive practices, and continuous monitoring is essential to create a future where AI enhances physical education for all learners regardless of their background or abilities.

## References

- Baker, T., Smith, L., & Anissa, N. (2021). *Artificial Intelligence and the Future of Teaching and Learning*. UNESCO.
- Barocas, S., Hardt, M., & Narayanan, A. (2019). *Fairness and Machine Learning*. fairmlbook.org.
- Eubanks, V. (2018). *Automating Inequality: How High-Tech Tools Profile, Police, and Punish the Poor*. St. Martin's Press.
- Kim, J., Kim, S., & Choi, H. (2020). "AI-Based Fitness Tracking for Inclusive Education." *International Journal of Educational Technology*, 37(2), 118-130.
- Shcherbina, A., Mattsson, C., Waggott, D., Salisbury, H., Christle, J. W., Hastie, T., & Ashley, E. A. (2017). "Accuracy in Wrist-Worn, Sensor-Based Measurements of Heart Rate and Energy Expenditure in a Diverse Cohort." *Journal of Personalized Medicine*, 7(2), 3.
- Whittlestone, J., Nyrupe, R., Alexandrova, A., & Cave, S. (2019). *The Role and Limits of Principles in AI Ethics*. Oxford Internet Institute.
- Algorithmic Bias in Education | International Journal.
- Bias and Fairness in Artificial Intelligence.